IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.No.794 of 2007

DATE OF ORDER: 15.2.2007

Om Parkash

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Gram Panchayat

....Respondent(s)

CORUM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. AGGARWAL

.*.*.*.

Present: Mr.Sanjay Mittal, Advocate.

M.M. AGGARWAL,J

This is petition against order dated 20.12.2006 passed by Additional District Judge, Narnaul whereby appeal filed by the plaintiff, now petitioner against order of the trial Court dismissing the application U/o 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC was dismissed.

On behalf of the petitioner, it is argued that the petitioner is in possession and be not dispossessed except otherwise in due course of law.

I have gone through the orders of the courts below. The property belongs to panchayat. It appears that the plaintiff-petitioner at some stage had taken the property on rent and that period has expired in 1991.

There are concurrent findings of the courts below.

I do not find any good ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

February 15, 2007 (M.M. AGGARWAL)
manoj JUDGE